Course:	SOWK 6004 - Advanced Social Work Interventions (Clinical Practice)	Department:	Behavioura
Responsible Faculty:	Camille Huggins	Responses / Expected:	11 / 24 (45.83%)

						(Camille Huggins				
Section 1		R	espon	ses							
	1	2F	3	4G	5	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q1 the lecturer's performance was	0	0	0	1	9	10	4.9	5	5	.30	

Responses: [1] 1-Poor=1 [2F] 2- Fair=2 [3] 3-Good=3 [4G] 4-Very Good=4 [5] 5-Excellent=5

Section 1			Camille Huggins												
Sect	Section 1			por	ses	;		Individual							
		1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev				
Q2	explained his/her expectations of students in the course	0	0	0	1	10	11	4.9	5	5	.29				
Q3	made the objectives of all sessions clear	0	0	0	4	7	11	4.6	5	5	.48				
Q4	outlined strategies for learning the course material	0	0	1	2	8	11	4.6	5	5	.64				
Q5	clearly explained the assessment of the course	0	0	1	2	7	10	4.6	5	5	.66				
Q6	used activities that helped me engage with and understand course material	0	0	0	2	9	11	4.8	5	5	.39				
Q7	encouraged me to participate in class activities	0	0	0	1	10	11	4.9	5	5	.29				
Q8	encouraged my interest in the course	0	0	0	4	7	11	4.6	5	5	.48				
Q9	helped in developing my competence in the subject discipline	0	0	0	3	8	11	4.7	5	5	.45				
Q10	helped in developing my intellectual self-confidence and knowledge	0	0	0	4	7	11	4.6	5	5	.48				
Q11	generally gave helpful responses to questions asked	0	0	0	4	7	11	4.6	5	5	.48				
Q12	was approachable outside of lectures/classroom sessions	0	0	0	2	9	11	4.8	5	5	.39				
Q13	was open to differing points of view	0	0	0	3	8	11	4.7	5	5	.45				
Q14	seemed confident and knowledgeable in the subject matter	0	0	0	2	9	11	4.8	5	5	.39				

Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5

							Camille Huggins				
Section 2				ses				Individual	dual		
	1	2F	3	4G	5	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q15 the course/module was	0	0	0	2	8	10	4.8	5	5	.40	

Responses: [1] 1-Poor=1 [2F] 2- Fair=2 [3] 3-Good=3 [4G] 4-Very Good=4 [5] 5-Excellent=5

						Camille Huggins												
Section 2				ıses			Individual											
	1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev								
Q16 material was presented in a manner I could easily follow	0	0	0	4	7	11	4.6	5	5	.48								
Q17 material covered stimulated my intellectual development	0	0	0	1	9	10	4.9	5	5	.30								
Q18 textbooks and/or other relevant material were readily available in the library	0	1	0	2	6	9	4.4	5	5	.96								
Q19 textbooks and/or in-course texts were readily available in the bookstore	0	0	1	2	4	7	4.4	5	5	.73								
Q20 assignment instructions were clear	0	0	1	2	8	11	4.6	5	5	.64								
Q21 assignments and/or in-course tests were marked promptly	0	0	0	5	6	11	4.5	5	5	.50								
Q22 feedback on assignments and/or in-course tests was generally helpful	0	1	0	2	8	11	4.5	5	5	.89								
Q23 feedback on assignments and/or in-course tests were useful	0	0	2	3	6	11	4.4	5	5	.77								
Q24 (where applicable) online components were useful	0	0	0	3	7	10	4.7	5	5	.46								
Q25 (where used) online components helped improve my learning	0	0	0	4	6	10	4.6	5	5	.49								

Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5

				Camille Huggins												
Section 2			ons	es		Individual										
	1D	2	3	4 5/	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev							
Q26 helped me understand the material covered in the classroom sessions	0	0	0	2 4	6	4.7	5	5	.47							
Q27 were clearly linked to the theoretical components of the course	0	0	0	2 4	6	4.7	5	5	.47							
Q28 were supported by well produced manuals and/or clear instructions	0	0	0	2 4	6	4.7	5	5	.47							
Q29 were supported by appropriate properly functioning equipment and/or appropriate specimens	0	0	0) 1	1	5.0	5	5	0							
Q30 were supported by an adequate number of demonstrators	0	0	1	1 1	3	4.0	4	3,4,5	.82							
Q31 reports were quickly marked	0	0	0	3 2	5	4.4	4	4	.49							
Q32 feedback from marked reports was generally helpful	0	0	0	2 3	5	4.6	5	5	.49							

Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5

	Faculty:	Camille Huggins				
Question: What did you like BEST about this course?						
Res	ponse Rate:	90.91% (10 of 11)				
1	the lecturer		Q			
2	was teachin	self was a great experience. Dr. Huggins did not only make learning fun, she also broke down the information until the class fully understood the concept she ng. Dr. Huggins gave us great feedback on assignments and noted when we improved, she created a comfortable space for us to have healthy "intense" ". Dr. Huggins has been consistent in her teaching methods, this is the second course I have had with her and her classes are always my favorite classes!!!!!				
3	the Lecture	r!!	Q			
4	the classroo Dr Huggins	gaged in very interactive classroom sessions, making the class a session between the vulnerable client and social worker. Every conversations, interactions, om dynamics made a huge impression on me. I feel more empowered, developed with additional insights, more knowledgeable and increased competence. was an excellent lecturer than crystallized social work practices in another level for me. Truly a masters class with a difference that I will always d. Classes were always on a timely basis.	Q			
5	This course	e offered different techniques to treat with clients especially with trauma which was very insightful.	Q			
6	The teachin	ng method	Q			
7	The session	ns were always interactive with many examples of the topic of each discussion. The opportunity for role plays were also quite beneficial.	Q			
8	The range o	of interventions covered helps in a variety of cases.	Q			
9	That this co student' atte	ourse exceeded my expectations. The class interaction was fabulous and the lecturer knew exactly how to engage the class and have full command of the ention	Q			
10	Discussion	heavy.	Q			

	Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
	Question:	What did you like LEAST about this course?	
Re	sponse Rate:	63.64% (7 of 11)	
1	doing a mas	ters level social work course when you never did undergrad social work courses because its the only elective that didnt clash with your timetable is hard.	Q
2	Seat shortag	e at class venue.	Q
3	Nothing		Q
4	Nothing		Q
5	Not enough	actual examples of the intervention for the therapies indicated.	Q
6	I LIKE EVERY	THINGGGG!!!!	Q
7	12 weeks??	want more	Q

	Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
	Question:	How do you think this course could be improved?	
Re	esponse Rate:	63.64% (7 of 11)	
1	n/a		Q
2	Use of practi	cal / actual examples of intervention techniques to show application in clinical settings.	Q
3	Nothing		Q
4	Larger venue		Q
5	I WOULD NO	T CHANGE ANYTHINGG!!!!!!	Q
6	By having on	e or two specific text books as it relates to the course content	Q
7	By conductin	g more impromptu classroom activities.	Q

	Question:	How do you think tutorial course components can be improved?	
R	esponse Rate:	18.18% (2 of 11)	
1	Na		Q
2	NA		Q
	Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
	Question:	How do you think laboratory work/practical activities course components can be improved?	
R	esponse Rate:	18.18% (2 of 11)	
1	Role plays he	elp with application of theory to practice. More role plays can further assist, for example one for each topic	Q
2	Na		Q
	Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
	Question:	Any other comments	
R	esponse Rate:	63.64 % (7 of 11)	
1	This course i	s very useful for Social Work and is an integral component of a holistic Social Worker.	Q
2	No		Q
3	Knowledgeat	ole lecturer who brings high levels of energy to add to her wealth of expereince	Q
4	I thoroughly e	enjoyed the learning experience facilitated by Dr. Huggings.	Q
5	Dr Huggins y	ou a truly an inspiration. Your passion is infective	Q
6	Dr Huggins s	hould be recognized (award etc) for the dedication and hard work she puts out in teaching these courses. She goes the extra mile	Q
7	knowledge a	rlier, this was a class where learning in its truest form occurred. Information was shared on both sides, as the lecturer allowed her students to share their and experiences without inhibition. The topics chosen sparked many serious discussions and revelations and were generally inspiring. Students were to be critical thinkers who made valuable contributions as their opinions were always respected. Meaningful feedback and advice were readily available and	Q