

Course:	SOWK 3012 - Individual and Group Counselling	Department:	Behavioura
Responsible Faculty:	Camille Huggins	Responses / Expected:	16 / 101 (15.84%)

Section 1		Camille Huggins									
		Responses					Individual				
		1	2F	3	4G	5	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	the lecturer's performance was	0	0	2	6	8	16	4.4	4.5	5	.70

Responses: [1] 1-Poor=1 [2F] 2- Fair=2 [3] 3-Good=3 [4G] 4-Very Good=4 [5] 5-Excellent=5

Section 1		Camille Huggins									
		Responses					Individual				
		1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q2	explained his/her expectations of students in the course	0	0	0	10	6	16	4.4	4	4	.48
Q3	made the objectives of all sessions clear	0	0	0	9	7	16	4.4	4	4	.50
Q4	outlined strategies for learning the course material	0	1	5	5	4	15	3.8	4	3,4	.91
Q5	clearly explained the assessment of the course	0	1	1	7	7	16	4.3	4	4,5	.83
Q6	used activities that helped me engage with and understand course material	0	0	0	3	13	16	4.8	5	5	.39
Q7	encouraged me to participate in class activities	0	0	1	6	9	16	4.5	5	5	.61
Q8	encouraged my interest in the course	0	0	0	8	8	16	4.5	4,5	4,5	.50
Q9	helped in developing my competence in the subject discipline	0	0	1	7	8	16	4.4	4,5	5	.61
Q10	helped in developing my intellectual self-confidence and knowledge	0	0	2	8	6	16	4.3	4	4	.66
Q11	generally gave helpful responses to questions asked	0	0	2	7	7	16	4.3	4	4,5	.68
Q12	was approachable outside of lectures/classroom sessions	0	0	0	10	6	16	4.4	4	4	.48
Q13	was open to differing points of view	0	0	0	9	7	16	4.4	4	4	.50
Q14	seemed confident and knowledgeable in the subject matter	0	0	0	5	11	16	4.7	5	5	.46

Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5

Section 2		Camille Huggins									
		Responses					Individual				
		1	2F	3	4G	5	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q15	the course/module was	0	0	4	9	3	16	3.9	4	4	.66

Responses: [1] 1-Poor=1 [2F] 2- Fair=2 [3] 3-Good=3 [4G] 4-Very Good=4 [5] 5-Excellent=5

Section 2		Camille Huggins									
		Responses					Individual				
		1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q16	material was presented in a manner I could easily follow	0	1	0	9	6	16	4.3	4	4	.75
Q17	material covered stimulated my intellectual development	0	0	2	9	5	16	4.2	4	4	.63
Q18	textbooks and/or other relevant material were readily available in the library	0	3	4	4	4	15	3.6	4	3,4,5	1.08
Q19	textbooks and/or in-course texts were readily available in the bookstore	0	2	6	2	2	12	3.3	3	3	.94
Q20	assignment instructions were clear	0	1	1	7	7	16	4.3	4	4,5	.83
Q21	assignments and/or in-course tests were marked promptly	0	1	0	8	7	16	4.3	4	4	.77
Q22	feedback on assignments and/or in-course tests was generally helpful	1	0	2	9	4	16	3.9	4	4	.97
Q23	feedback on assignments and/or in-course tests were useful	1	0	2	9	4	16	3.9	4	4	.97
Q24	(where applicable) online components were useful	0	0	0	9	5	14	4.4	4	4	.48
Q25	(where used) online components helped improve my learning	0	0	0	9	4	13	4.3	4	4	.46










Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5




Section 2		Camille Huggins									
		Responses					Individual				
		1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q26	(where conducted) were adequate	0	0	0	9	6	15	4.4	4	4	.49
Q27	(where conducted) helped me to understand the material covered in the course	0	0	0	10	6	16	4.4	4	4	.48
Q28	(where conducted) were directly linked to lectures	0	0	1	6	9	16	4.5	5	5	.61
Q29	would have been useful for me in this course	0	0	1	7	6	14	4.4	4	4	.61






Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5







Section 2		Camille Huggins									
		Responses					Individual				
		1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q30	helped me understand the material covered in the classroom sessions	0	0	0	4	3	7	4.4	4	4	.49
Q31	were clearly linked to the theoretical components of the course	0	0	0	4	3	7	4.4	4	4	.49
Q32	were supported by well produced manuals and/or clear instructions	0	0	0	3	4	7	4.6	5	5	.49
Q33	were supported by appropriate properly functioning equipment and/or appropriate specimens	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.3	4.5	5	.83
Q34	were supported by an adequate number of demonstrators	0	0	2	1	2	5	4.0	4	3,5	.89
Q35	reports were quickly marked	0	0	1	1	5	7	4.6	5	5	.73
Q36	feedback from marked reports was generally helpful	0	0	0	1	6	7	4.9	5	5	.35






Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5



Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
Question:	What did you like BEST about this course?	
Response Rate:	56.25% (9 of 16)	
1	that it allowed for a 'hands-on' opportunity to perform counselling.	
2	it was interesting and fun	
3	The way in which the course is taught, different learning techniques which benefits the entire class.	
4	That i was able to practice counselling techniques. This was one of the most useful courses in my entire degree.	
5	My lecturer, Dr. Huggins. She's very approachable, practical and clear. The course was a great introduction to Social Work and Counseling practices for a Qualifying Student. The in class skits were the best!!!	
6	Learning to apply the various theories in a counselling context.	
7	It was very interactive and it stimulated learning and development. Also the authenticity and use of real life events and stories were helpful in our interest and understanding of the course and application.	
8	All aspects	
9	-	



Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
Question:	What did you like LEAST about this course?	
Response Rate:	50.00% (8 of 16)	
1	the coursework- it was not marked properly, markings were dissembled all over the place-very unprofessional and corrections were not made.	
2	Too much interaction..sometimes things become redundant	
3	The setting (venue) in which the course took place was uncomfortable and would have affected our ability to grasp everything that was being thought at times.	

4	The classroom used was extremely cold. We were told the Air Condition could not be adjusted because it was "not working". It really affected my focus especially since I had to stay in the room for three hours (lecture and tutorial were back to back.	
5	The short timeframe	
6	Some information for the content of the course was not available on the internet.	
7	I wish more therapeutic components were explored apart from cbt, solution focused...etc	
8	-	

Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
Question:	How do you think this course could be improved?	
Response Rate:	37.50% (6 of 16)	
1	a clearer example of what is required for the presentations.	
2	The course was excellent! The Air Condition should be adjusted.	
3	More videos representing each counselling approach.	
4	I believe its excellent.	
5	Add more therapy modules to the course....Give us a little about everything	
6	-	

Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
Question:	How do you think tutorial course components can be improved?	
Response Rate:	31.25% (5 of 16)	
1	Tutorial sessions were great it's just that the number of students in the class made it a bit difficult. A tutorial should have around 10-15 people. So more tutorial sessions throughout the week so that it can be evenly used by students.	
2	Tutorial started off shaky, we had two tutors, while the first tutor was clear and overall led good discussions; our second tutor was quite remarkable in her approach and really brought the theory to life!	
3	Tutorial questions.	
4	More tutorials	
5	I think it was conducted very well and should continue to follow through the way it is.	

Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
Question:	How do you think laboratory work/practical activities course components can be improved?	
Response Rate:	12.50% (2 of 16)	
1	We had in class skits - very effective!	
2	-	

Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
Question:	Any other comments	
Response Rate:	18.75% (3 of 16)	
1	Overall, Dr Huggins is awesome and a great lecturer. She is really good at what she does and helps me understand even though the class is sooo large. Kudos to her.	
2	Overall excellent course!	
3	-	