Deeneneihle	Course: SOWK 2009 - International Social Work and Social									Department:					Behavioura			
Responsible Faculty:	Camille Huggins						Resp	on	ise	s /	Ехр	ec	ted:	8 / 23 ((34.78%	%)		
							(Cam	nille	Hu	ggins							
Section 1			R	espons	es		Individ						ndividu	al				
		1	2F	3	4G	5	N		Me	an		Ν	∕led.	Mod	e	Std Dev		
Q1 the lecturer's performa	nce was	0	0	0	0	8	8		5.	0			5	5		0		
		U					-						-			-		
			Res	ponses	s: [1] 1-Po	or=1	[2F] 2- I	-air	=2 [3] 3	-Good	I=3 [4G] 4-V	ery Good=4	[5] 5-Exce	ellent		
												Car	nille Hı	Iggins				
Section 1	tion 1							Responses						Individu	Individual			
							1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	St De		
Q2 explained his/her expe	ectations of students in the course						0	0	0	2	6	8	4.8	5	5	.4		
Q3 made the objectives o	f all sessions clear						0	0	0	2	6	8	4.8	5	5	.4		
Q4 outlined strategies for	learning the course material						0	0	0	3	5	8	4.6	5	5	.4		
Q5 clearly explained the a	ssessment of the course						0	0	1	2	5	8	4.5	5	5	.7		
Q6 used activities that he	lped me engage with and understand course mater	rial					0	0	0	1	7	8	4.9	5	5	.3		
Q7 encouraged me to par	ticipate in class activities						0	0	1	1	6	8	4.6	5	5	.7		
Q8 encouraged my interes	st in the course						0	0	0	0	8	8	5.0	5	5	0		
Q9 helped in developing m	ny competence in the subject discipline						0	0	0	1	7	8	4.9	5	5	.3		
Q10 helped in developing m	ny intellectual self-confidence and knowledge						0	0	0	3	5	8	4.6	5	5	.4		
Q11 generally gave helpful	responses to questions asked						0	0	0	1	6	7	4.9	5	5	.3		
Q12 was approachable out	side of lectures/classroom sessions						0	0	0	2	6	8	4.8	5	5	.4		
Q13 was open to differing	points of view						0	0	0	1	7	8	4.9	5	5	.3		
was open to untering t							0	0	0	0	8	8	5.0	5	5	0		

	Camille Huggins													
Section 2		R	espons	ses		Individual								
	1	2F	3	4G	5	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev				
Q15 the course/module was	0	0	0	3	5	8	4.6	5	5	.48				

Responses: [1] 1-Poor=1 [2F] 2- Fair=2 [3] 3-Good=3 [4G] 4-Very Good=4 [5] 5-Excellent=5

						Ca	mille Hug	gins					
Section 2		Res	por	ses		Individual							
	1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev			
Q16 material was presented in a manner I could easily follow	0	0	0	3	5	8	4.6	5	5	.48			
Q17 material covered stimulated my intellectual development	0	0	0	3	5	8	4.6	5	5	.48			
Q18 textbooks and/or other relevant material were readily available in the library	0	1	2	1	2	6	3.7	3.5	3,5	1.11			
Q19 textbooks and/or in-course texts were readily available in the bookstore	0	1	2	2	0	5	3.2	3	3,4	.75			
Q20 assignment instructions were clear	0	0	1	2	5	8	4.5	5	5	.71			
Q21 assignments and/or in-course tests were marked promptly	0	0	0	2	6	8	4.8	5	5	.43			
Q22 feedback on assignments and/or in-course tests was generally helpful	0	0	0	4	4	8	4.5	4.5	4,5	.50			
Q23 feedback on assignments and/or in-course tests were useful	0	0	0	4	4	8	4.5	4.5	4,5	.50			
Q24 (where applicable) online components were useful	0	0	1	3	4	8	4.4	4.5	5	.70			
Q25 (where used) online components helped improve my learning	0	0	1	2	5	8	4.5	5	5	.71			

Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5

							Ca	mille Hug	gins		
Sect	ion 2	Responses Individual									
		1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q26	(where conducted) were adequate	0	0	0	0	1	1	5.0	5	5	0
Q27	(where conducted) helped me to understand the material covered in the course	0	0	0	0	1	1	5.0	5	5	0
Q28	(where conducted) were directly linked to lectures	0	0	0	0	1	1	5.0	5	5	0
Q29	would have been useful for me in this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	5.0	5	5	0

Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5

							Can	nille Hug	gins				
Sect	ion 2	1	Resp	ons	es		Individual						
		1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev		
Q30	helped me understand the material covered in the classroom sessions	0	0	0	0	2	2	5.0	5	5	0		
Q31	were clearly linked to the theoretical components of the course	0	0	0	0	2	2	5.0	5	5	0		
Q32	were supported by well produced manuals and/or clear instructions	0	0	0	0	1	1	5.0	5	5	0		
Q33	were supported by appropriate properly functioning equipment and/or appropriate specimens	0	0	0	2	2	2	5.0	5	5	0		
Q34	were supported by an adequate number of demonstrators	0	0	0	2	1	1	5.0	5	5	0		
Q35	reports were quickly marked	0	0	0	2	2	2	5.0	5	5	0		
Q36	feedback from marked reports was generally helpful	0	0	0	2	2	2	5.0	5	5	0		
	Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagr	ee=2	[3] 3	-Nei	utral	=3 [4] 4	1-Agree=4	[5A] 5-8	Strongly A	.gree=5		

	Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
	Question:	What did you like BEST about this course?	
Re	esponse Rate:	62.50% (5 of 8)	
1	i enjoyed the	style of the course, where there was more visual learning; which was more helpful for me. I also liked that the course was 100% course work.	Q
2	The video pre	esentations	Q
3	It was very in	teresting and stimulated crtitcal thinking	Q
4	It expanded r	ny view on various social ills globally and I was able to understand the concept of true critical thinking	Q
5		r. Huggins was always willing to discuss topics and respected everyone's point of view. She was very interactive and approachable. I was able to feel comfortable enough to express my views.	Q

	Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
	Question:	What did you like LEAST about this course?	
Re	esponse Rate:	25.00% (2 of 8)	
1	NA		Q
2	Assignment	workload	Q
	Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
	a		

	Question:	How do you think this course could be improved?	
Re	sponse Rate:	25.00 % (2 of 8)	
1	I think a few	handouts on topic could improve this course.	Q
2	Being able to	o visit an international agency based in Trinidad	Q
	Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
	Ouestion:	How do you think tutorial course components can be improved?	

Response Rate: 25.00% (2 of 8)

1 No tutorial

2 I don't think there is anything needed to improve the tutorials. The course was simple and easily understood.

Faculty:	Camille Huggins
Question:	How do you think laboratory work/practical activities course components can be improved?
Response Rate:	No participants responded to this question. (0 of 8)
1	
Faculty:	Camille Huggins
Question:	Any other comments
Baspanaa Bata	

Response Rate: No participants responded to this question. (0 of 8)

Semester 1 2018-2019 Survey Semester 1 2018-2019

The University of the West Indies St. Augustine Campus

<u>Q</u> 0

Course:	SOWK 2002 - Theory & Practic	e of	of Social Work II Department								Behavioura				
Responsible Faculty:	Camille Huggins	Responses / Expected: 5 / 43 (11.63%))			
Section 1	Camille Huggins Responses Individual														
		1	2F	3	4G	5	N	Mean	Med	d.	Mode	Std Dev			
Q1 the lecturer's performance w	as	0	1	0	2	2	5	4.0	4		4,5	1.10			

Responses: [1] 1-Poor=1 [2F] 2- Fair=2 [3] 3-Good=3 [4G] 4-Very Good=4 [5] 5-Excellent=5

							Ca	mille Hug	gins						
Sect	tion 1		Res	por	nses	;			Individual						
		1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev				
Q2	explained his/her expectations of students in the course	0	0	1	1	3	5	4.4	5	5	.80				
Q3	made the objectives of all sessions clear	0	0	1	0	4	5	4.6	5	5	.80				
Q4	outlined strategies for learning the course material	0	1	1	0	3	5	4.0	5	5	1.26				
Q5	clearly explained the assessment of the course	0	1	0	0	4	5	4.4	5	5	1.20				
Q6	used activities that helped me engage with and understand course material	0	1	0	2	2	5	4.0	4	4,5	1.10				
Q7	encouraged me to participate in class activities	0	0	2	1	2	5	4.0	4	3,5	.89				
Q8	encouraged my interest in the course	0	0	2	1	2	5	4.0	4	3,5	.89				
Q9	helped in developing my competence in the subject discipline	0	1	0	2	2	5	4.0	4	4,5	1.10				
Q10	helped in developing my intellectual self-confidence and knowledge	0	1	1	1	2	5	3.8	4	5	1.17				
Q11	generally gave helpful responses to questions asked	0	0	1	2	2	5	4.2	4	4,5	.75				
Q12	was approachable outside of lectures/classroom sessions	0	0	1	0	3	4	4.5	5	5	.87				
Q13	was open to differing points of view	0	0	1	1	3	5	4.4	5	5	.80				
Q14	seemed confident and knowledgeable in the subject matter	0	0	1	0	4	5	4.6	5	5	.80				

Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5

	Camille Huggins													
Section 2	Responses Individual						I							
	1	2F	3	4G	5	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev				
Q15 the course/module was	0	1	0	2	2	5	4.0	4	4,5	1.10				

Responses: [1] 1-Poor=1 [2F] 2- Fair=2 [3] 3-Good=3 [4G] 4-Very Good=4 [5] 5-Excellent=5

			Camille Huggins									
Sect	ion 2		Res	spoi	ises	5			Individ	ual		
		1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q16	material was presented in a manner I could easily follow	0	1	0	2	2	5	4.0	4	4,5	1.10	
Q17	material covered stimulated my intellectual development	0	1	0	2	2	5	4.0	4	4,5	1.10	
Q18	textbooks and/or other relevant material were readily available in the library	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.3	4.5	5	.83	
Q19	textbooks and/or in-course texts were readily available in the bookstore	0	0	2	0	2	4	4.0	4	3,5	1	
Q20	assignment instructions were clear	0	1	0	2	2	5	4.0	4	4,5	1.10	
Q21	assignments and/or in-course tests were marked promptly	0	0	1	0	4	5	4.6	5	5	.80	
Q22	feedback on assignments and/or in-course tests was generally helpful	0	1	0	2	2	5	4.0	4	4,5	1.10	
Q23	feedback on assignments and/or in-course tests were useful	0	1	0	2	2	5	4.0	4	4,5	1.10	
Q24	(where applicable) online components were useful	0	0	1	2	2	5	4.2	4	4,5	.75	
Q25	(where used) online components helped improve my learning	0	0	2	1	2	5	4.0	4	3,5	.89	

Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5

						Camille Huggins								
Section 2		Responses Individual												
	1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev				
Q26 (where conducted) were adequate	0	0	3	1	1	5	3.6	3	3	.80				
Q27 (where conducted) helped me to understand the material covered in the course	0	0	1	3	1	5	4.0	4	4	.63				
Q28 (where conducted) were directly linked to lectures	0	0	1	1	3	5	4.4	5	5	.80				
Q29 would have been useful for me in this course	0	0	1	3	1	5	4.0	4	4	.63				

Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5

							Car	nille Hug	gins		
Section 2			Res	pon	ses	5					
		1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q30 helped me understand the material covered in the	classroom sessions	0	0	1	2	1	4	4.0	4	4	.71
Q31 were clearly linked to the theoretical components	of the course	0	0	0	3	1	4	4.3	4	4	.43
Q32 were supported by well produced manuals and/or of	lear instructions	0	0	2	1	1	4	3.8	3.5	3	.83
Q33 were supported by appropriate properly functioning	equipment and/or appropriate specimens	0	0	1	1	1	3	4.0	4	3,4,5	.82
Q34 were supported by an adequate number of demons	trators	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.0	4	3,5	1
Q35 reports were quickly marked		0	0	1	0	2	3	4.3	5	5	.94
Q36 feedback from marked reports was generally help	ul	0	0	2	0	1	3	3.7	3	3	.94

Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5

Faculty:	Camille Huggins				
Question:	What did you like BEST about this course?				
Response Rate:	60.00% (3 of 5)				
the mannerism and knowledge of the lecturer					

The lecturer and tutor were excellent and resourceful!

Question: How do you think this course could be improved?

2 The material was easy to follow!

Enjoyed deciphering cases!

3 Neutral

	Faculty:	Camille Huggins							
	Question:	What did you like LEAST about this course?							
Re	esponse Rate: 60.00% (3 of 5)								
1	the fact that it was on a monday at 10 am								
2	Lacking cohe	erence	2						
3	Course was perfect!								
	Faculty: Camille Huggins								

Re	esponse Rate: 80.00% (4 of 5)				
1	more brain teaser activities about topics	Q			
2	2 More in class activity				
3	Course was perfect!	Q			
	Better structure				
4	Simplifying of steps	Q			

	Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
-	Question:	How do you think tutorial course components can be improved?	
Re	esponse Rate:	40.00 % (2 of 5)	
1	Tutorial was	perfect!	Q
2	Neutral		Q

	Faculty:	Camille Huggins						
	Question:	How do you think laboratory work/practical activities course components can be improved?						
Re	sponse Rate:	40.00 % (2 of 5)						
1	This course	worked in tanget with our Social Work Practicum which was a brilliant idea!	Q					
2	Faster theory	ster theory into practical, therefore allowing more activities						

	Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
	Question:	Any other comments	
		40.00% (2 of 5)	
1	No comment	ts	Q
2	Excellent intr	roduction to Social Work for qualifying students! Extremely enjoyable lecture and tutorial.	Q

Semester 1 2019-2020 Survey Semester 1 2019-2020

The University of the West Indies St. Augustine Campus

Q

Q

Course:	SOWK 301	2 - Individual	and Group C	ouncelling	3	Department:	Behavioura					
Responsible Faculty:	Camille Hu	ıggins		es / Expected:	68 / 71 (95.77%)							
					SOWK 3012							
Demographic Questions		Resp	onses			Course						
		м	F	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev				
Q1 Sex:		14	54	68	1.8	2	2	.40				
	Responses: [M] M=1 [F] F=2											

		SOWK 3012										
Demographic Questions		Re	sponse	S		Course						
	1	2	3	4&0	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev			
Q2 Age:	55	8	3	2	68	1.3	1	1	.69			
						Responses	:[1] 18-25=1 [2] 26-	35=2 [3] 36-45=3 [48	46 & over=4			

	SOWK 3012											
Demographic Questions		Re	esponses		Course							
	I	П	ш	IV	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev			
Q3 Year:	0	0	61	6	67	3.1	3	3	.29			

 $\textbf{Responses:} \textbf{[I]} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{|=1} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{[II]} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{||=2} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{[III]} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{|||=3} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{[IV]} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{|V=4} \\$

					SOWK 3012								
Demographic Questions	Re	sponses		Course									
	1	2	3	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev					
Q4 Semester	66	1	1	68	1.0	1	1	.27					

Responses: [1] 1=1 [2] 2=2 [3] 3=3

				s	OWK 3012						
Responses					Course						
1TH	2H	3	4	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev			
0	2	45	21	68	3.3	3	3	.51			
			1TH 2H 3	1TH 2H 3 4	Responses 1TH 2H 3 4 N	1TH 2H 3 4 N Mean	Responses Course 1TH 2H 3 4 N Mean Med.	Responses Course 1TH 2H 3 4 N Mean Med. Mode			

 $\label{eq:Responses: [1TH] 1-Less than half=1 [2H] 2-Approximately half=2 [3] 3-Most=3 [4] 4-All=4$

	Camille Huggins											
Section 1		F	Respo	nses		Individual						
	1	2F	3	4G	5	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev		
Q6 the lecturer's performance was	0	1	9	22	36	68	4.4	5	5	.77		

Responses: [1] 1-Poor=1 [2F] 2- Fair=2 [3] 3-Good=3 [4G] 4-Very Good=4 [5] 5-Excellent=5

Contine 1							Cami	ille Huggir	IS				
Section 1					ises		Individual						
		1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev		
Q7	explained his/her expectations of students in the course	1	0	4	31	31	67	4.4	4	4,5	.73		
Q8	made the objectives of all sessions clear	1	0	6	32	29	68	4.3	4	4	.75		
Q9	outlined strategies for learning the course material	0	2	15	36	15	68	3.9	4	4	.75		
Q10	clearly explained the assessment of the course	0	1	7	34	26	68	4.3	4	4	.69		
Q11	used activities that helped me engage with and understand course material	0	1	4	21	42	68	4.5	5	5	.67		
Q12	encouraged me to participate in class activities	0	1	4	27	36	68	4.4	5	5	.67		
Q13	encouraged my interest in the course	0	3	8	28	29	68	4.2	4	5	.82		
Q14	helped in developing my competence in the subject discipline	0	1	6	36	25	68	4.3	4	4	.67		
Q15	helped in developing my intellectual self-confidence and knowledge	0	0	13	36	19	68	4.1	4	4	.68		
Q16	generally gave helpful responses to questions asked	0	2	5	30	31	68	4.3	4	5	.74		
Q17	was approachable outside of lectures/classroom sessions	0	3	14	24	24	65	4.1	4	4,5	.87		
Q18	was open to differing points of view	1	1	9	31	25	67	4.2	4	4	.82		
Q19	seemed confident and knowledgeable in the subject matter	0	0	3	15	50	68	4.7	5	5	.55		

Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5

	Camille Huggins													
Section 2			Respon	ses		Individual								
	1	2F	3	4G	5	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev				
Q20 the course/module was	0	1	19	28	20	68	4.0	4	4	.80				

Responses: [1] 1-Poor=1 [2F] 2- Fair=2 [3] 3-Good=3 [4G] 4-Very Good=4 [5] 5-Excellent=5

							Cami	ille Huggin	ns		
Sect	ion 2		R	espor	ises				Individu	al	
		1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q21	material was presented in a manner I could easily follow	0	1	12	37	18	68	4.1	4	4	.70
Q22	material covered stimulated my intellectual development	0	1	13	33	21	68	4.1	4	4	.74
Q23	textbooks and/or other relevant material were readily available in the library	5	6	25	21	7	64	3.3	3	3	1.04
Q24	textbooks and/or in-course texts were readily available in the bookstore	3	3	34	13	5	58	3.2	3	3	.88
Q25	assignment instructions were clear	1	1	14	29	23	68	4.1	4	4	.86
Q26	assignments and/or in-course tests were marked promptly	0	8	18	25	17	68	3.8	4	4	.96
Q27	feedback on assignments and/or in-course tests was generally helpful	1	4	7	28	28	68	4.1	4	4,5	.93
Q28	feedback on assignments and/or in-course tests were useful	0	3	8	31	26	68	4.2	4	4	.80
Q29	(where applicable) online components were useful	0	1	14	37	13	65	4.0	4	4	.69
Q30	(where used) online components helped improve my learning	1	2	19	28	13	63	3.8	4	4	.86

Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5

					С	amill	e Huggins	s			
Section 2		Re	spons	ses		Individual					
	1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q31 (where conducted) were adequate	0	10	17	28	13	68	3.6	4	4	.95	
Q32 (where conducted) helped me to understand the material covered in the course	0	2	20	33	13	68	3.8	4	4	.76	
Q33 (where conducted) were directly linked to lectures	0	3	20	30	14	67	3.8	4	4	.81	
Q34 would have been useful for me in this course	0	3	13	35	14	65	3.9	4	4	.77	

Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5

	Camille Huggins												
action 2								Individu	lal				
	1D	2	3	4	5A	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev			
Q35 helped me understand the material covered in the classroom sessions	0	1	5	30	16	52	4.2	4	4	.67			
Q36 were clearly linked to the theoretical components of the course	0	0	6	30	16	52	4.2	4	4	.62			
Q37 were supported by well produced manuals and/or clear instructions	0	2	11	22	16	51	4.0	4	4	.83			
Q38 were supported by appropriate properly functioning equipment and/or appropriate specimens	0	2	10	21	10	43	3.9	4	4	.80			
Q39 were supported by an adequate number of demonstrators	0	4	7	21	10	42	3.9	4	4	.88			
Q40 reports were quickly marked	0	5	14	18	10	47	3.7	4	4	.92			
Q41 feedback from marked reports was generally helpful	0	1	10	23	12	46	4.0	4	4	.75			

Responses: [1D] 1-Strongly Disagree=1 [2] 2-Disagree=2 [3] 3-Neutral=3 [4] 4-Agree=4 [5A] 5-Strongly Agree=5

	Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
	Question:	What did you like BEST about this course?	
Re	sponse Rate:	95.59% (65 of 68)	
1	the role-play	r was very informative to get an idea of how the helping process	Q
2	the real life	applications to the theories given to us. very interactive classes and tutorials.	Q
3	the informa	tion	Q
4	the activitie	s and interactions with lecturer	Q
5	the activitie	S	Q
6	the ability to	elearn different methods in counselling and how its relevant to connect with the client in order provide proper counselling.	Q
7	role plays in	class where we applied the theoretical framework to prospective cases	Q
8	its very prac	tical	Q
9		troduction of counseling strategies and it helped me understand the field of counceling much much better i feel confident i can utilize these techniques ng my masters	Q
10	Wonderful le	earning opportunity to aid sills in counseling. Learnt valuable questions to ask clients.	Q

11	What I liked the most about this course was the Lecturers ability to engage with students, making sessions interactive and practical to what was being learnt. Everyone had the opportunity to practice in sessions which made it easier to remember the course work. The lecturer's examples where culturally appropriate as well which again made very easy to understand what was being thought.	Q
12	Watching members of the class participate in a role play to further explain the topic being discussed	Q
13	Was interactive among peers	Q
14	Very interactive.	Q
15	This course was mentally stimulating and introduced us to real world cases to study.	Q
16	The various practical exercises we participated in	Q
17	The tutorial sessions where we had to do role plays	
18	The size of the class and the lecturer's personality (animated, interactive approach)	Q Q
19		Q
20		Q
	The practical aspect	
21		Q
22	The practically and real life examples provided a beneficial learning expirence for future clinicians. This course is one of few that fully embraces the local context and I am very grateful to have had the expirence of coming to this class.	Q
23	The live activitiesit was encouraging.	Q
24	The lecturer was engaging and the classes weren't boring.	Q
25	The lecturer was very knowledgable and presented the content excellently. She engaged the class in every session and this was very crucial to my intellectual development during this course. I am certainly pleased.	Q
26	The lecturer teaching styles, provision of all course materials and clear explanations.	Q
27	The interactions were great with classmates doing skits	Q
28	The interaction among the teacher and students	Q
29	The in-class activities which allowed me to understand how to apply the literature to a simulated situation.	Q
30	The in class role playing for each method of councelling and question asking really helped me to understand them better	Q
31	The in class role play exercise	Q
32	The fact that each class was very interactive and the lecturer engaged the class very well.	Q
33	The course brought a form of field experience to my degree as I was able to observe and participate in various counselling scenarios, thus was able to develop some useful skills in terms of body language, facial expressions, showing empathy and asking questions in the proper way. I admired the way the Lecturer encouraged and answered questions from the class which might have challenged what was being thought and in that way improved our understanding of the issue at task.	Q
34	The content and class activities	Q
35	The content of the course	Q
36	The content	Q
37	The case scenarios and roleplays were helpful in regards to helping the class understand how the theories are applied to real counselling.	Q
38	That it was interactive alot of cases were done.	Q
39	Role play exercises	Q
40	Real life scenarios in the case work were very helpful	Q
41	Practicality	Q
42	My major is Psychology and this course helped me further understand the importance of being able to properly communicate and understand my clients.	Q
43	It was a combination of theory and practice.	Q
44	It sparked my interest from the very first class. Miss Huggins made this course very understandable and her methods were interesting.	Q
45	It really opened my mindset on mental health. Ways we can help people and how we can help ourselves as well	Q
46	Interactive	Q
47	I received a better understanding of simple mental functions which i previously took for granted	Q
48	I really like the course as the material were not difficult to understand but how it bought across to me was a bit confusing some topics that miss had role plays for	-
	were easier to understand but some I felt like some in-depth information from would have been helpful as well.	Q
49	I love learning about new things. This course was a huge eye opener for me because I learnt techniques and skills that I can use in my practium to become a better practium student.	Q
50	I love Dr. Huggins, she always had confidence in me, which made me want to make her more proud of me. She was encouraging and spoke well of me to others which made me feel accepted and appreciated to be a part of this profession. She was funny and engaging and kept our attention. Dr. Huggins is extremely funny and that's what I liked best about this course her ability to humor on such a daunting topic.	Q
51	I liked the interactive nature of the course the most, and the use of role play to gain an understanding of the topic which was being taught.	Q
52	I liked that she let us role play so we got a hands on learning experience about what it would be like in the field	Q
53	I liked that the lecturer made the course very interesting by using real life examples and urged students to participate in role-plays.	Q

54	I learned so much about counseling and how to approach clients who need different levels of help.	Q
55	I enjoyed the utilization of role plays to ensure there was a full understanding of the topic being discussed.	Q
56	I enjoyed the participation of the class and the students being allowed to demonstrate what they would do in certain cases.	Q
57	I enjoyed the case studies; it helped with critical thinking	Q
58	I enjoyed being able to actively practice the skills learnt during learning	Q
59	Funny role plays	Q
60	Extremely interactive!the lecturer was knowledgeable and encouraged us to challenge ourselves.	Q
61	Dr. Huggins is very tact as it relates to her ability to listen and relay information.	Q
62	Content	Q
63	Being a psychology student and experiencing practicum was a great learning experience. Group therapy as well as one on one therapy, through the eyes of the therapist or patient was a eye opening. Showed the limitations of some psychology courses and allowed me to challenge myself to apply my knowledge learned.	Q
64	Allowed students to actively participate in conversations and activities.	Q
65	A lot of interesting cases	Q

	Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
	Question:	What did you like LEAST about this course?	
Re	sponse Rate:	82.35% (56 of 68)	
1	us in tutoria	least was that there was an apparent disconnect between the lecturer and the tutor. In our mid term exam one of the questions was not fully explained to Is and was not taught at all in the lectures but yet it was still marked. Also, while going through the answers in tutorials i had some answers that were and my final mark had awarded me 0 points for those same questions that had acceptable answers.	Q
2	the practica	I aspects were so helpful that I did not not see the need for the powerpoint presentations	Q
3	the lecture a	and tutorial feels like the same exact thing	Q
4	the group pr	esentations were not well organized.	Q
5	the group pr	oject was difficult	Q
6	not enough	lime	Q
7	nil		Q
8	i didnt like t class	here were not enough practice sessions for application i wish that there was another way for students to have more practice in the techniques taught in the	Q
9	The tutorial	class. Sir was not in-depth even though it was more of an active illustration class per say.	Q
10	The tutorial	s (bearly did any work)	Q
11	The tutorial	teacher	Q
12	The room th	e course was being taught in did not facilitate the needs of the course adequately in my opinion	Q
13		I was difficult to come by. I spent two weeks searching for resources in order to complete the presentation and didn't come up with that much information. re not very detailed and the text books are vague and not readily available.	Q
14	The long ho	urs of class	Q
15	The length o	f time taken to mark exams.	Q
16	The lecturer classroom.	: She's not always approachable. She along with other staff of the faculty do not represent what they teach us. It's like a different person outside of the	Q
17	The lectures	s could have and provide more reading material pertaining to the course.	Q
18	The group w	ork	Q
19	The cold cla	ssroom	Q
20	Some stude	nts where judgemental when others where performing in-class activities.	Q
21	Some of the	topics covered were a bit "heavy" and should have been broken up into 2 parts.	Q
22	Role play		Q
23	Nothing		Q
24	Nothing		Q
25	Nothing		Q
26	Nothing		Q
27	Nothing		Q
28	Nothing rea	ly, probably needed a better tutorial session in terms of and detail.	Q
29	Nothing rea	ly	Q
30	No negative	comments it was beyond my expectations.	Q

31	N/A	Q
32	N/A	Q
33	N/A	Q
34	N/A an overall great experience	Q
35	It was not as practical as I expecte it to be.	Q
36	It should be a recommend course for ALL PSYCHOLOGY STUDENTS	Q
37	I would say, miss fully corperate in assignments and group work	Q
38	I truly did not like tutorials it was honestly not very helpful I felt all over the place.	Q
39	I liked the location of the course the least. The AC is way to cold and made it difficult it write at times.	Q
40	I liked the course	Q
41	I enojed all aspects of this course.	Q
42	I enjoyed every aspect of this course.	Q
43	I do not like role plays as I am to shy.	Q
44	I did not appreciate the fact that the case studies or scenarios for practice were not provided online before the class so that students could develop proper responses and get feedback on whatever issues they may have encountered while trying to respond to the scenario as the time allotted to doing so in class was insufficient for an in-depth analysis of the scenario.	Q
45	Having no initial understanding of the course was challenging at first, but that's because it was the first social work course I've ever done, no fault of the lecturer.	Q
46	Hard midterm	Q
47	Getting a question in the mid term we did not cover in the lecture.	Q
48	Everything was excellent	Q
49	Disorganization of information	Q
50	Did not have an issue	Q
51	Dense and heavy content	Q
52	Delay with receiving grades.	Q
53	Course is taught under the assumption all students previously did social work so certain aspects were only learned through a "burn to learn" occurrence. Meaning only after question was asked, we then learned some of the expected prior knowledge.	Q
54	Being selected to do said role-play, mostly because i have to re-read the content of the lecture before i get a full understanding.	Q
55	At times the class became a bit disorganized	Q
56	-	Q

	Faculty:	Camille	Huggins	
	Question:	How do	rou think this course could be improved?	
Re	sponse Rate:	80.88%	(55 of 68)	
1	the use of n	nore visu	l aids	Q
2	switch up th	ne tutoria	days because it gets restless doing the same thing for 3 hours	Q
3	more time r	maye a m	ake it a year long course or have it in two parts	Q
4	more notes	on power	point.	Q
5	less activity	/ during c	ass and more content teaching	Q
6	i think the c	ourse is a	Iready great	Q
7	i think in so	me way v	e can have more practice session or even have more case studies practiced	Q
8	course is ex	cellent		Q
9	both role-pla	ay and lec	tures as well as readings being available on my learning for easier access for students	Q
10	With a bette	er classro	om with better furniture	Q
11	This course	could be	improved by having more tutorial options to choose from.	Q
12	This course	should b	e a yearlong course since it is a subject that is both practical and necessary in social work.	Q
13	This course	could be	improved by also focusing on group counselling more.	Q
14	There shoul	d be a se	ction of the course where the theory that is learnt is tested in an off-campus practical environment.	Q
15	Spreading o	ut some	f the "heavy" topics so it can be better understood and not felt as though it was rushed.	Q
16	Small class	es to eng	age persons	Q
17	Receive gra	des quick	er.	Q

18		Possibly place the course in a different class room because persons in the back of the room couldn't hear the lecturer very well also the seating in the room wasn't very good either		
19	Perhaps the tutor can outline the tasks to complete and place it on my elearning so that students can practice if he or she can't make it.			
20	Online submission for extra credit work. And should be open for at least a week			
21	Not sure.			
22	No need to		Q	
23	N/A		Q	
24	More role pl	ays	Q	
25	More readin	gs can be made available to students	Q	
26	More intera	ctive sessions	Q	
27	More intera	ction with class members	Q	
28	More focuse	ed tutorials	Q	
29		e information. Sometimes the slides can be repitive or monotonous. I think if students were given a break in between lectures or if students were provided		
20		efore each lecture they would more easily follow the material.	Q	
30		studies and better explanations of them.	Q	
31		ion can be paid to the structure of midterm examination as a question in the exam was not taught.	Q	
32	-		Q	
	It's good how		Q	
34	It is good the		Q	
35	It doesn't ne	ed much improvement. it was great and class was very educational.	Q	
36	It could have	e a field aspect	Q	
37	Include mor	e case studies and practical examples.	Q	
38	Include links	s or subtexts online for those who are not social work majors.	Q	
39	In my opinio	n this course is as designed to the best of its ability.	Q	
40	In depth tuto	orials that cover the lecturers notes as well as students doing individual revising.	Q	
41	I'm not sure		Q	
42		course could be improved by having smaller classes and making it a three hour lecture due to the importance of the material being covered to social work ogy students.	Q	
43		be improved by using myelearning more to make students aware of what is happening. Tutorials were never posted up, I missed the first tutorial because i e that they had started.	Q	
44	I feel like it	is good as it is, can't be improved.	Q	
45	them and m	s course can be improved by providing the relevant information for the lectures before the lecture session is conducted so that students will be able to read ake note of any questions they may have. However Lecture attendance will need to be made mandatory so that students attend. In addition to this I believe should be used as some students, including myself find it easier to grasp a concept when demonstrated in a video.	Q	
46		rer that is genuine and caring. Someone that shows a true representation of the course. The person achievements or ability should not only be the reason to personality, attitude and their wellingness.	Q	
47	Everything w	vas done well	Q	
48	Even if not t	aught, all information that needs to be known is made aware.	Q	
49	Doesn't nee	d to be improved.	Q	
50	Different teaching strategies, video's etc		Q	
51	Course was excellent			
52	Clear information			
53	Can be a bit	Can be a bit faster with uploading lecture notes		
54	By there being more lectures. Some topics such as CBT needs at least two sessions to expand			
55	Better structure			
	I		Q	
	-	Camille Huggins		
		How do you think tutorial course components can be improved?		
Res	sponse Rate:	77.94% (53 of 68)		
1	tutorials goi	ng in-depth of what was being taught to students as well as home revision.	Q	
2	2 not much was linked to lecture		Q	
3				

4	more practice sessions of the therapeutic techniques	Q
5	more connected to what was taught	Q
6	more case studies	Q
7	it was good	Q
8	have a bit more structure than felling like going with the flow. but the tutor was excellent and very knowledgeable.	Q
9	excellent	Q
10	Tutorials can be improved by making it more structured through the presence of specific questions (tutorial questions) provided to students in advance so that the one hour session is focused on the relevant topics and discussion and does not stray from it, which tends to happen from time to time.	Q
11	Tutorials definitely need more structure.	Q
12	Tutorial worksheets can be done by students in a group in order to save time and in order for us to complete more worksheets. Ideally I would like if worksheets were uploading to myElearning as well.	Q
13	Tutorial teacher should come on time so material could be covered properly.	
	Teacher's late attendance discouraged new from coming to class	Q
14	Tutorial course components could be improved by having smaller groups. My tutorial class was almost as big as the lecture and I found this to be a bit distracting.	Q
15	They could be a bit more structured.	Q
16	There should have been other time and day options; 2 tutorials were not enough. This would also decrease the size of the class and students would not get lost in the class.	Q
17	The tutorial teacher can come on time	Q
18	The tutorial teacher needs to work on his communication skills towards students whose major is not Social Work.	Q
19	The tutorial could focus more on areas that students are struggling in or would like clarification.	Q
20	The tutor should try not to stray as much	Q
21	The tutor was always 30 mins late to each session.	Q
22	The tutor is very smart and has a great personality other than his hectic schedule which is no fault of his own, having to recover from rushing in, maybe he can have assignments for the tutorial time so that students can have some work prepared and keep them in a continuous learning mode.	Q
23	The tutor missed a number of tutorials without letting students know therefore we sat in class and waited for the entire hour and now we have to have makeup classes for these tutorials therefore, I believe that the tutor should be given access to myelearning to communicate with students on their absences as well as the assignments missed so that in the last week of teaching three hours of tutorials do not need to be rescheduled.	Q
24	Small classes	Q
25	One particular class before the mid semester exam was extremely helpful, a case handout was provided and we were asked to venture answers together and were given corrections based on our responses instead of role play only, it provided clarification and the expectations of how to answer on exams. More sessions like that should be integrated with the current tutorial structure.	Q
26	No comment	Q
27	Needed to be more focused on helping us understand the materials delivered in class	Q
28	N/A	Q
29	More structure to the tutorial.	Q
30	More practical work	Q
31	More practical examples.	Q
32	More interactive tutorials and more help for coming exams.	Q
33	More in depth information	Q
34	More explanations and practice	Q
35	More cases in tutorial	Q
36	Maybe focus on aspects persons didn't understand.	Q
37	Make it smaller. To facilitate this, offer more tutorial options that do not clash with mandatory social work activities such as practicum.	Q
38	Longer than 1 hour	Q
39	It was really good so any improvement would be that it could've been a smaller group	Q
40	It was good the way it is.	Q
41	Interaction with students	Q
42	I would say the merging of Miss work with the tutor to make sure the work is available and understood to the fullest	
42	I think that the tutorial course components does not really need improving	Q
43	Honestly, i think there should more times scheduled instead of the two this semester, as both of them were clashing with my other classes.	Q
	Haven't had much of a problem. So there's nothing much that for me to add.	Q
45 46	Haven chad much of a problem. So there's nothing much that for the to add. Have sessions more structured.	Q
		Q
47	Get more in depth to explain certain topics within the course	Q

48	B Different day or time or stay together but another day option	0
-	Different tutors	à
50	D Did not have tutorial questions	Q
51	Can have tutorial questions	Q
52	2 A clear link to lectures	Q
53	3 -	Q

	Faculty:	Camille	Huggins	
Question: How do you think laboratory work/practical activities course components can be improved?		you think laboratory work/practical activities course components can be improved?		
Response Rate: 45.59% (31 of 68)				
1	this was the	e most er	njoyable thing about the class so nothing	Q
2	practical ac	tivities h	elped a lot. The group demonstrations really made things clearer	Q
3	n/a			Q
4	n/a			Q
5	more time			Q
6	more flexab	le tutoria	I times	Q
7	less could b	e done ir	n lectures and more at tutorials	Q
8	it was suffic	cient, no i	improvements	Q
9	Visual aids			Q
10	Video demo	nstration	before we attempt	Q
11	Nothing nee	eded		Q
12	No need, the	ey were v	ery effective	Q
13	No commer	nt		Q
14	N/A			Q
15	N/A			Q
16	N/A			Q
17	N/A			Q
18	More off-ca	mpus/ ol	It of classroom activities Where knowledge of theory can be put to the test.	Q
19	More of the	m		Q
20	More day th	roughout	the semester	Q
21	More can be	e implem	ented maybe a site visit.	Q
22	Its good as	is		Q
23	It was great	, there do	o not need to be any improvements.	Q
24	It does not i	need to b	e modified.	Q
25	It could rem	iain the s	ame	Q
26	I think it's g	ood as it	is	Q
27	I don't think	much ca	In be done to improve it because of the time constraint.	Q
28	I believe the and student	e instruct s are cor	or or lecturer should always provide a demonstration either before students attempt to do so or after, so that all the demonstrations are relevant astantly seeing the correct way to operate instead of numerous fair attempts by the students.	Q
29	Don't have a	a complai	nt	Q
30	-			Q
31	-			Q

	Faculty:	Camille Huggins	
Question:		Any other comments	
Response Rate:		32.35% (22 of 68)	
1	the room wa	is too cold.	Q
2	the course v	vas good over all nothing like i expected but the practical learning is what kept most students tuned in.	Q
3	nope		Q

4	This was the most interesting course I've ever had and the practical experience would be very helpful in my field of psychology	Q
5	The class was great	Q
6	Thank you for your hard work and efforts into the class	Q
7	Overall, I truly learnt a alot from this course that I would carry with me and use in my future cereer, and I enjoyed it as well.	Q
8	Overall a manageable course. The lecturer was adequately knowledgeable about the course. The tutor was fairly knowledgeable about the course.	Q
9	Nothing	Q
10	No.	Q
11	No further comments	Q
12	No further comment	Q
13	Keep up the great work	Q
14	Interesting course.	Q
15	I'd like to take the opportunity to thank my teachers for the learning opportunities they provided to me. Thank you for giving me beneficial knowledge and if I ever did anything disrespectful I do apologize and hope to become a better human being.	Q
16	I really enjoyed the class and it opened my mind to a lot of mindful thinking when it comes to group and individual counseling	Q
17	I believe there should be many courses like this, not only for year 3 students but throughout the degree as its content is extremely important to psychology (which has no other practical component) and social work; specifically because a lot of students struggled with it so maybe it should be conducted in at least year 2 as well or some variation of it.	Q
18	Explanation of the course material was done in a very effective and easy to understand manner.	Q
19	Clearer instructions could have been given for the class presentations and skits. we were not given specific instructions, they were a bit vague and so we did not know what the lecturer was looking for.	Q
20	Both lecturers were competent and knowledgeable. I hope to have them for another course.	Q
21	A good course overall	Q
22	-	Q